


# National Core Indicators<sup>®</sup> - Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (NCI<sup>®</sup>-IDD)



## 2020-21 In-Person Survey (IPS) Report

### National Quality Forum (NQF) Measures

*Home- and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Measures Endorsed by the National Quality Forum*




## Table of Contents

<b>What is the National Quality Forum? .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>What does an NQF endorsement entail? .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>What is different about the presentation of data? .....</b>	<b>3</b>
No NCI-IDD Average .....	3
NQF Risk Adjustment .....	3
<b>Why are there differences between NQF and NCI-IDD reporting and how are the data calculated differently? .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>All Tables .....</b>	<b>5</b>
Table 1. Of those who say they want to learn to perform ADLs more independently, the percentage who have a goal in their service plan to increase independence or improve functional skill performance in ADLs .....	6
Table 2. Can change their case manager/service coordinator if wants to.....	7
Table 3. Can stay at home if others in the house go somewhere .....	8
Table 4. Chose staff or were aware they could request to change staff .....	9
Table 5. Of those who say they want a paid job in the community (and do not currently have one), the percentage who have community employment as a goal in their service plan .....	10
Table 6. Community Inclusion Scale .....	11
Table 7. Has friends who are not staff or family members.....	12
Table 8. Life Decisions Scale .....	13
Table 9. Gets help to learn new things.....	14
Table 10. Service plan includes things that are important to person.....	15
Table 11. Respect for Personal Space Scale .....	16
Table 12. Satisfaction with Community Inclusion Scale .....	17
Table 13. Social Connectedness .....	18
Table 14. Adequate Transportation Scale .....	19

## What is the National Quality Forum? <sup>1</sup>

The National Quality Forum (NQF) was created in 1999 by a coalition of public- and private-sector leaders after the President's Advisory Commission on Consumer Protection and Quality in the Healthcare Industry concluded that an organization was needed to promote and ensure patient protections and healthcare quality through measurement and public reporting. NQF is the only consensus-based healthcare organization in the nation as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. This status allows the federal government to rely on NQF-defined measures or healthcare practices as the best, evidence-based approaches to improving care. The federal government, states, and private-sector organizations use NQF's endorsed measures, which must meet rigorous criteria, to evaluate performance and share information with patients and their families.



status allows the federal government to rely on NQF-defined measures or healthcare practices as the best, evidence-based approaches to improving care. The federal government, states, and private-sector organizations use NQF's endorsed measures, which must meet rigorous criteria, to evaluate performance and share information with patients and their families.



## What does an NQF endorsement entail?

Only a select group of measures have been endorsed by the National Quality Forum. These measures have undergone careful evaluation by expert committees made up of doctors, hospitals

and other healthcare providers, employers, health plans, public agencies, community coalitions, and patients –most of whom use measures daily to ensure better care. This review relies on a set of rigorous criteria to ensure that measures under consideration address aspects of care that are important and feasible to measure, provide consistent and credible information, and can be used for quality improvement and decision-making. Taken together, these criteria help to ensure that endorsed measures are thoroughly vetted and best-in-class.

## What is different about the presentation of data?

### *No NCI-IDD Average*

For the NQF-endorsed measures, the unit of analysis (“accountable entity”) is *the state*, i.e. the state-level entity responsible for providing and managing developmental disabilities services. In this context, “NCI-IDD Average” is not defined as part of the endorsed measure list. Therefore, the tables do not offer “NCI-IDD Average”.

### *NQF Risk Adjustment*

The NQF risk adjustment method required analysis of the latest available data (IPS 18-19 data at the time of submission), whereas the current NCI-IDD risk adjustment method was based on earlier data. Therefore, the

---

<sup>1</sup> Source: [Qualityforum.org](https://www.qualityforum.org)


adjustment models in the two methods are not identical. Efforts are currently underway on moving towards unified risk adjustment models in the future.

The differences in current models are summarized in the following table:


Variables	Included in NQF-Endorsed Risk Adjustment Model?	Included in Current IPS Risk Adjustment Model?
Age (in years)	Yes	Yes
Intellectual Disability (ID)	Yes	Yes
Preferred Mode of Communication	Yes	No
Support Needs for Destructive or Disruptive Behaviors	Yes	Yes
Mobility	Yes	Yes
Health Status	Yes	No
Proxy Use	Yes	No

## Why are there differences between NQF and NCI-IDD reporting and how are the data calculated differently?

- For many existing items (8 out of 14), there are no differences between NQF and NCI-IDD calculations.
- The NQF-endorsed measures contain 3 new items that are not currently included in NCI-IDD reports:
  - Transportation Availability Scale
  - Satisfaction with Community Inclusion Scale
  - Respect for Personal Space Scale
- In calculating the ‘scales’ -- measures that consist of multiple items, the NQF-endorsed method requires valid responses to at least two component items, whereas the current NCI-IDD method does not.
- There are additional differences in including/excluding cases from measure calculation. Please refer to table notes for specific details.
- For more information on how the data are presented in general NCI-IDD reporting, please follow [this link](#).



All Tables



**Table 1. Of those who say they want to learn to perform ADLs<sup>2</sup> more independently, the percentage who have a goal in their service plan to increase independence or improve functional skill performance in ADLs<sup>3,4</sup>**

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AR	96%	68	n/a	n/a	100%	93%	n/a
AL	51%	72	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a
CO	67%	72	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
FL	89%	73	n/a	86%	n/a	91%	n/a
GA	79%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	89%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	65%	23	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	90%	41	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
KS	77%	74	n/a	74%	n/a	83%	n/a
KY	79%	142	n/a	75%	n/a	96%	73%
MI	92%	66	n/a	100%	n/a	81%	n/a
MO	60%	50	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a
NC	93%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a
NE	78%	74	n/a	73%	n/a	83%	n/a
NH	67%	36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	67%	57	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	79%	42	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	88%	74	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a
PA	63%	24	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SD	41%	44	n/a	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	83%	40	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	91%	87	n/a	90%	n/a	87%	n/a
WI	59%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

<sup>2</sup> ADL: Activities of Daily Living

<sup>3</sup> This analysis combines two questions that come from two different sources

1. Whether there is a goal in service plan to increase independence or improve functional skill performance in ADLs obtained through administrative records; and,
2. Whether the person wants to learn to do more ADLs on their own, which is asked during the face-to-face meeting with the person receiving services and can only be answered by that person.

<sup>4</sup> MN did not have data for this item. OH's data is not shown due to too few valid responses (n<20).

**Table 2. Can change their case manager/service coordinator if wants to**

*“Yes” responses only; Proxy responses allowed*

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	79%	337	n/a	81%	n/a	68%	n/a
AR	88%	372	n/a	98%	87%	86%	n/a
CO	93%	577	n/a	91%	96%	94%	90%
FL	97%	373	n/a	95%	100%	96%	n/a
GA	95%	370	n/a	97%	95%	96%	89%
HI	78%	278	n/a	78%	n/a	80%	75%
IL	91%	247	n/a	92%	88%	90%	n/a
IN	90%	316	n/a	73%	97%	96%	n/a
KS	95%	303	n/a	93%	96%	96%	n/a
KY	99%	390	n/a	99%	96%	99%	99%
MI	76%	558	n/a	74%	86%	75%	n/a
MN	90%	309	n/a	88%	95%	91%	n/a
MO	92%	331	n/a	92%	92%	93%	n/a
NC	73%	364	n/a	67%	n/a	78%	86%
NE	95%	317	88%	98%	92%	94%	100%
NH	91%	316	n/a	88%	94%	96%	85%
NJ	90%	308	n/a	85%	88%	97%	n/a
NV	91%	326	n/a	91%	93%	93%	n/a
OH	90%	281	n/a	95%	94%	89%	n/a
OR	87%	336	n/a	76%	100%	88%	88%
PA	84%	517	n/a	85%	84%	85%	n/a
SD	88%	360	n/a	87%	94%	78%	n/a
TN	92%	275	n/a	93%	91%	94%	n/a
VA	92%	623	n/a	93%	94%	92%	88%
WI	89%	646	n/a	85%	92%	90%	n/a

**Table 3. Can stay at home if others in the house go somewhere <sup>5</sup>**

*“Yes” responses only; Proxy responses allowed*

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	43%	361	n/a	41%	n/a	48%	n/a
AR	34%	325	n/a	25%	56%	27%	n/a
CO	41%	587	n/a	26%	84%	42%	38%
FL	47%	357	n/a	47%	65%	43%	n/a
GA	33%	377	n/a	18%	67%	37%	27%
HI	33%	298	n/a	24%	n/a	35%	28%
IL	41%	305	n/a	37%	n/a	40%	n/a
IN	48%	302	n/a	34%	55%	52%	n/a
KS	45%	317	n/a	42%	75%	35%	n/a
KY	7%	368	n/a	5%	n/a	6%	8%
MI	45%	609	n/a	52%	58%	35%	n/a
MN	37%	366	n/a	29%	n/a	43%	n/a
MO	52%	358	n/a	56%	58%	46%	n/a
NC	25%	387	14%	15%	55%	29%	10%
NE	51%	357	n/a	43%	73%	54%	n/a
NH	37%	358	n/a	18%	n/a	50%	27%
NJ	28%	375	n/a	24%	74%	26%	10%
NV	21%	419	30%	19%	45%	21%	15%
OH	65%	275	n/a	56%	67%	64%	n/a
OR	47%	365	n/a	38%	n/a	53%	31%
PA	49%	572	63%	50%	67%	47%	n/a
SD	77%	286	n/a	73%	96%	68%	n/a
TN	40%	302	n/a	49%	38%	29%	n/a
VA	62%	671	n/a	75%	80%	47%	50%
WI	45%	692	n/a	31%	80%	45%	n/a

<sup>5</sup> Please note: The denominator for this item does not exclude people who live at home, which differs from NCI-IDD reporting.



**Table 4. Chose staff or were aware they could request to change staff <sup>6</sup>**

*“Chose staff” and “staff were assigned but can be changed if requested by person” responses; Proxy responses allowed*

State	Risk-Adjusted Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	85%	377	n/a	87%	84%	73%	n/a
AR	54%	371	n/a	42%	73%	40%	n/a
CO	81%	561	n/a	79%	87%	81%	80%
FL	78%	366	n/a	78%	94%	72%	n/a
GA	85%	388	n/a	89%	87%	81%	80%
IL	61%	276	n/a	59%	84%	56%	n/a
IN	77%	305	n/a	62%	86%	85%	n/a
KS	71%	336	n/a	74%	84%	52%	n/a
KY	18%	381	n/a	10%	25%	33%	13%
MI	64%	486	n/a	62%	70%	67%	n/a
MO	84%	364	n/a	87%	89%	75%	n/a
NE	74%	401	78%	65%	82%	78%	74%
NV	77%	350	n/a	77%	72%	76%	n/a
NH	59%	343	n/a	51%	86%	62%	54%
NJ	32%	341	n/a	20%	53%	48%	n/a
NC	67%	375	42%	66%	67%	73%	71%
OH	77%	249	n/a	84%	80%	68%	n/a
OR	58%	329	n/a	48%	83%	71%	33%
PA	52%	527	37%	43%	75%	57%	n/a
SD	92%	376	n/a	92%	94%	81%	n/a
TN	74%	278	n/a	79%	72%	60%	n/a
VA	80%	608	n/a	82%	81%	79%	78%

<sup>6</sup> Data for HI, MN, and WI are not included because items needed for risk adjustment were not available in records.

**Table 5. Of those who say they want a paid job in the community (and do not currently have one), the percentage who have community employment as a goal in their service plan <sup>7, 8</sup>**

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	65%	121	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	23%	117	n/a	n/a	29%	16%	n/a
CO	32%	102	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	27%
FL	45%	85	n/a	31%	n/a	50%	n/a
GA	10%	94	n/a	9%	n/a	18%	n/a
HI	11%	61	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a
IL	34%	47	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	42%	72	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a
KS	27%	70	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	16%	82	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	28%
MI	28%	115	n/a	20%	n/a	36%	n/a
MO	45%	76	n/a	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a
NC	14%	90	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
NE	50%	66	n/a	n/a	n/a	48%	n/a
NH	43%	87	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	45%
NJ	63%	84	n/a	46%	n/a	85%	n/a
NV	54%	110	n/a	51%	54%	56%	n/a
OH	43%	30	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	62%	114	n/a	59%	n/a	62%	67%
PA	50%	74	n/a	54%	n/a	50%	n/a
SD	43%	74	n/a	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	23%	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	33%	152	n/a	41%	n/a	27%	22%

<sup>7</sup> This analysis combines two questions that come from two different sources

1. Whether community employment is a goal in service plan; and
2. Whether the person wants a job is asked during the face-to-face meeting with the person receiving services and can only be answered by that person.

<sup>8</sup> MN did not have data for this item

**Table 6. Community Inclusion Scale <sup>9</sup>**

*Adjusted variable*

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	66%	388	n/a	65%	68%	69%	n/a
AR	54%	406	n/a	38%	58%	53%	n/a
CO	68%	633	n/a	65%	74%	68%	69%
FL	67%	395	n/a	70%	70%	63%	n/a
GA	61%	402	n/a	50%	71%	63%	66%
IL	68%	336	n/a	68%	71%	67%	n/a
IN	72%	361	n/a	77%	72%	69%	n/a
KS	69%	368	n/a	63%	74%	71%	n/a
KY	39%	399	n/a	39%	49%	43%	35%
MI	64%	660	n/a	62%	70%	66%	n/a
MO	57%	400	n/a	56%	60%	59%	n/a
NE	69%	405	47%	74%	70%	67%	72%
NV	56%	402	n/a	55%	57%	56%	n/a
NH	46%	391	n/a	36%	55%	47%	49%
NJ	61%	405	n/a	59%	69%	62%	60%
NC	64%	429	48%	47%	75%	68%	78%
OH	65%	322	n/a	64%	66%	67%	n/a
OR	52%	415	n/a	42%	60%	56%	50%
PA	55%	656	29%	57%	62%	57%	n/a
SD	67%	398	n/a	69%	65%	72%	n/a
TN	83%	315	n/a	83%	82%	84%	n/a
VA	54%	717	n/a	49%	60%	54%	63%

<sup>9</sup> The scale includes:

- Went shopping at least once in the past month
- Went out on errands or appointments at least once in the past month
- Went out for entertainment at least once in the past month
- Went to a restaurant or coffee shop at least once in the past month

**Table 7. Has friends who are not staff or family members**

*“Yes, has friends who are not staff or family” responses only*

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	81%	312	n/a	77%	95%	92%	n/a
AR	85%	282	n/a	95%	84%	83%	n/a
CO	84%	373	n/a	74%	81%	88%	80%
FL	78%	206	n/a	78%	83%	76%	n/a
GA	77%	235	n/a	79%	70%	79%	78%
HI	79%	140	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%	77%
IL	82%	206	n/a	90%	80%	74%	n/a
IN	78%	257	n/a	75%	79%	78%	n/a
KS	69%	279	n/a	69%	77%	58%	n/a
KY	59%	193	n/a	44%	n/a	74%	57%
MI	84%	354	n/a	85%	82%	84%	n/a
MN	62%	283	n/a	64%	78%	59%	n/a
MO	80%	250	n/a	79%	79%	81%	n/a
NC	76%	226	n/a	74%	87%	79%	91%
NE	83%	269	71%	94%	85%	84%	67%
NH	75%	291	n/a	72%	85%	76%	72%
NJ	83%	218	n/a	85%	81%	84%	n/a
NV	74%	316	n/a	71%	79%	75%	n/a
OH	80%	212	n/a	82%	82%	77%	n/a
OR	82%	254	n/a	85%	88%	79%	83%
PA	74%	360	n/a	75%	82%	71%	n/a
SD	87%	295	n/a	88%	85%	93%	n/a
TN	79%	145	n/a	82%	77%	72%	n/a
VA	85%	378	n/a	84%	94%	93%	70%
WI	76%	488	n/a	75%	82%	72%	n/a

Table 8. Life Decisions Scale <sup>10</sup>

Adjusted variable

State	Risk-Adjusted Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	58%	354	n/a	58%	57%	68%	n/a
AR	54%	248	n/a	36%	59%	51%	n/a
CO	74%	483	n/a	63%	82%	76%	73%
FL	67%	263	n/a	59%	81%	78%	n/a
GA	67%	348	n/a	63%	78%	71%	58%
IL	61%	226	n/a	54%	76%	78%	n/a
IN	71%	256	n/a	61%	78%	79%	n/a
KS	67%	312	n/a	61%	78%	63%	n/a
KY	51%	314	n/a	45%	65%	55%	54%
MI	52%	415	n/a	51%	62%	71%	n/a
MO	68%	314	n/a	59%	72%	70%	n/a
NE	68%	390	57%	63%	77%	69%	71%
NV	57%	350	n/a	51%	62%	69%	n/a
NH	51%	307	n/a	37%	80%	59%	46%
NJ	33%	313	n/a	25%	60%	45%	19%
NC	59%	242	42%	57%	66%	71%	65%
OH	66%	197	n/a	64%	68%	69%	n/a
OR	52%	231	n/a	43%	83%	71%	46%
PA	52%	442	40%	45%	76%	64%	n/a
SD	74%	380	n/a	70%	80%	72%	n/a
TN	72%	229	n/a	71%	72%	n/a	n/a
VA	74%	486	n/a	70%	74%	79%	83%

<sup>10</sup> The scale includes:

- Person made the choice or had input on where they live
- Person made the choice or had input on who they live with
- Person made the choice or had input on where they work
- Person made the choice or had input on their day program or workshop
- Person chose or can request to change staff

**Table 9. Gets help to learn new things**

“Yes” responses only

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	94%	312	n/a	95%	n/a	94%	n/a
AR	88%	277	n/a	92%	88%	86%	n/a
CO	82%	361	n/a	86%	73%	81%	89%
FL	89%	201	n/a	89%	96%	84%	n/a
GA	84%	231	n/a	86%	76%	86%	89%
HI	86%	135	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	84%
IL	81%	203	n/a	88%	71%	76%	n/a
IN	81%	278	n/a	86%	74%	82%	n/a
KS	69%	275	n/a	67%	70%	71%	n/a
KY	51%	191	n/a	54%	n/a	52%	49%
MI	73%	357	n/a	80%	63%	75%	n/a
MN	84%	276	n/a	84%	73%	85%	n/a
MO	76%	249	n/a	83%	78%	70%	n/a
NC	88%	214	n/a	94%	76%	87%	97%
NE	70%	260	81%	77%	67%	68%	65%
NH	77%	285	n/a	80%	73%	75%	80%
NJ	76%	210	n/a	81%	57%	81%	n/a
NV	85%	310	n/a	86%	83%	85%	n/a
OH	77%	203	n/a	n/a	72%	78%	n/a
OR	83%	238	n/a	86%	77%	81%	93%
PA	84%	357	n/a	81%	79%	92%	n/a
SD	90%	291	n/a	93%	87%	90%	n/a
TN	87%	145	n/a	91%	84%	84%	n/a
VA	83%	331	n/a	80%	84%	87%	84%
WI	76%	477	n/a	73%	69%	83%	n/a

**Table 10. Service plan includes things that are important to person**

“Yes” responses only

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent’s or Relative’s Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	81%	207	n/a	84%	n/a	67%	n/a
AR	99%	141	n/a	n/a	97%	100%	n/a
CO	96%	244	n/a	96%	98%	96%	94%
FL	90%	149	n/a	86%	92%	94%	n/a
GA	95%	167	n/a	95%	94%	96%	92%
HI	89%	79	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	86%
IL	87%	123	n/a	90%	n/a	80%	n/a
IN	93%	156	n/a	97%	96%	88%	n/a
KS	84%	132	n/a	90%	87%	68%	n/a
KY	89%	176	n/a	98%	n/a	76%	87%
MI	85%	219	n/a	88%	89%	82%	n/a
MN	96%	91	n/a	100%	n/a	87%	n/a
MO	95%	151	n/a	95%	99%	89%	n/a
NC	89%	133	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	100%
NE	78%	162	n/a	81%	73%	77%	77%
NH	85%	199	n/a	78%	97%	82%	84%
NJ	83%	90	n/a	77%	90%	84%	n/a
NV	90%	188	n/a	90%	91%	91%	n/a
OH	100%	75	n/a	n/a	100%	100%	n/a
OR	88%	83	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
PA	90%	155	n/a	92%	90%	89%	n/a
SD	96%	164	n/a	98%	94%	n/a	n/a
TN	98%	56	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	97%	143	n/a	96%	100%	94%	100%
WI	94%	217	n/a	96%	92%	95%	n/a

**Table 11. Respect for Personal Space Scale <sup>11</sup>**

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	91%	313	n/a	90%	95%	93%	n/a
AR	96%	282	n/a	93%	95%	97%	n/a
CO	92%	376	n/a	96%	91%	90%	94%
FL	94%	209	n/a	89%	98%	95%	n/a
GA	91%	234	n/a	93%	92%	90%	92%
HI	82%	139	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	86%
IL	92%	208	n/a	92%	98%	90%	n/a
IN	92%	289	n/a	95%	96%	87%	n/a
KS	90%	282	n/a	88%	93%	91%	n/a
KY	77%	193	n/a	68%	n/a	81%	79%
MI	91%	361	n/a	91%	93%	90%	n/a
MN	93%	286	n/a	94%	95%	91%	n/a
MO	92%	250	n/a	91%	92%	95%	n/a
NE	94%	263	83%	93%	98%	95%	97%
NV	88%	316	n/a	88%	91%	86%	n/a
NH	85%	295	n/a	80%	91%	84%	88%
NJ	89%	218	n/a	88%	85%	90%	n/a
NC	87%	235	n/a	89%	98%	85%	93%
OH	90%	221	n/a	96%	89%	89%	n/a
OR	87%	256	n/a	87%	88%	86%	88%
PA	93%	370	n/a	92%	93%	94%	n/a
SD	90%	298	n/a	90%	91%	85%	n/a
TN	95%	157	n/a	94%	95%	96%	n/a
VA	93%	371	n/a	94%	92%	92%	94%
WI	90%	491	n/a	93%	94%	87%	n/a

<sup>11</sup> The scale includes:

- Other people let respondents know before they come into their home
- Other people let respondents know before coming into their bedroom
- Respondent has a place to be alone in their home



Table 12. Satisfaction with Community Inclusion Scale <sup>12</sup>

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	31%	385	n/a	29%	40%	38%	n/a
AR	51%	406	n/a	42%	47%	55%	n/a
CO	43%	629	n/a	37%	48%	42%	45%
FL	51%	391	n/a	48%	57%	52%	n/a
GA	40%	404	n/a	30%	43%	46%	43%
HI	46%	308	n/a	44%	n/a	44%	50%
IL	49%	328	n/a	42%	57%	53%	n/a
IN	55%	358	n/a	56%	55%	54%	n/a
KS	57%	353	n/a	54%	60%	61%	n/a
KY	54%	188	n/a	54%	n/a	50%	53%
MI	45%	658	n/a	47%	49%	40%	n/a
MN	46%	394	n/a	43%	50%	51%	n/a
MO	54%	397	n/a	55%	53%	58%	n/a
NE	61%	406	40%	60%	66%	62%	65%
NV	42%	403	n/a	37%	47%	47%	n/a
NH	36%	388	n/a	28%	44%	37%	35%
NJ	43%	403	n/a	41%	51%	45%	37%
NC	39%	430	41%	30%	34%	41%	45%
OH	46%	323	n/a	51%	44%	46%	n/a
OR	41%	412	n/a	33%	49%	42%	40%
PA	51%	631	40%	46%	57%	57%	n/a
SD	51%	399	n/a	46%	57%	54%	n/a
TN	50%	316	n/a	49%	48%	51%	n/a
VA	32%	713	n/a	27%	37%	34%	38%
WI	49%	775	n/a	56%	54%	46%	n/a

<sup>12</sup> The scale includes the following items:

- Person is satisfied with how often they went on shopping in the past month
- Person is satisfied with how often they went out for entertainment in the past month
- Person is satisfied with how often they went to a restaurant or coffee shop in the past month
- Person is satisfied with how often they went to a religious service or spiritual practice in the past month
- Person is satisfied with the level of community group participation

Table 13. Social Connectedness <sup>13</sup>

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	94%	309	n/a	94%	n/a	90%	n/a
AR	86%	276	n/a	97%	80%	89%	n/a
CO	93%	371	n/a	92%	91%	95%	93%
FL	92%	197	n/a	91%	91%	93%	n/a
GA	89%	228	n/a	85%	92%	91%	86%
HI	86%	138	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	81%
IL	89%	200	n/a	85%	96%	94%	n/a
IN	80%	249	n/a	81%	76%	83%	n/a
KS	91%	274	n/a	90%	90%	94%	n/a
KY	99%	191	n/a	99%	n/a	98%	0%
MI	88%	353	n/a	87%	92%	87%	n/a
MN	90%	275	n/a	89%	89%	91%	n/a
MO	91%	245	n/a	94%	91%	89%	n/a
NC	89%	218	n/a	78%	81%	93%	91%
NE	93%	252	n/a	95%	93%	90%	0%
NH	85%	291	n/a	82%	87%	89%	82%
NJ	88%	205	n/a	87%	89%	88%	n/a
NV	87%	308	n/a	84%	89%	95%	n/a
OH	88%	208	n/a	86%	85%	92%	n/a
OR	86%	244	n/a	87%	87%	84%	90%
PA	95%	349	n/a	93%	95%	97%	n/a
SD	89%	291	n/a	84%	93%	90%	n/a
TN	88%	138	n/a	83%	88%	92%	n/a
VA	89%	321	n/a	87%	89%	91%	92%
WI	89%	477	n/a	84%	84%	94%	n/a

<sup>13</sup> Reverse-coded from “yes, often” responses from the question “Do you ever feel lonely?”; In other words, the percentages shown included both “No” and “Yes, sometimes” responses

Table 14. Adequate Transportation Scale <sup>14</sup>

State	Average Within State	N	ICF/IID, Nursing Facility and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home
AL	81%	300	n/a	82%	n/a	80%	n/a
AR	96%	280	n/a	96%	94%	99%	n/a
CO	88%	371	n/a	92%	84%	87%	87%
FL	79%	201	n/a	78%	84%	77%	n/a
GA	89%	224	n/a	89%	86%	93%	82%
HI	85%	134	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	85%
IL	88%	193	n/a	90%	88%	86%	n/a
IN	90%	241	n/a	94%	88%	92%	n/a
KS	85%	277	n/a	82%	90%	84%	n/a
KY	93%	192	n/a	91%	n/a	94%	97%
MI	88%	344	n/a	89%	82%	90%	n/a
MN	94%	277	n/a	95%	85%	95%	n/a
MO	92%	248	n/a	89%	93%	91%	n/a
NE	78%	255	n/a	83%	74%	75%	79%
NV	90%	310	n/a	90%	91%	92%	n/a
NH	79%	290	n/a	66%	80%	86%	78%
NJ	77%	199	n/a	73%	80%	75%	n/a
NC	91%	216	n/a	85%	91%	90%	95%
OH	94%	200	n/a	n/a	92%	97%	n/a
OR	90%	243	n/a	83%	85%	93%	95%
PA	92%	352	n/a	93%	88%	93%	n/a
SD	96%	290	n/a	97%	96%	97%	n/a
TN	96%	131	n/a	94%	97%	98%	n/a
VA	89%	329	n/a	92%	78%	88%	94%
WI	90%	483	n/a	84%	89%	94%	n/a

<sup>14</sup> This scale includes the following items:

- Person has a way to get places they need to go (e.g. work, appointments, etc.)
- Person is able to get places when they want to do something outside their home, e.g., going out to see friends, for entertainment, or to do something fun